arXiv:1504.00573v3 [hep-th] 16 May 2015

Three-point non-associative supersymmetry generalization

Vladimir Dzhunushaliev*
Dept. Theor. and Nucl. Phys., KazNU, Almaty, 050040, Kazakhstan and
IETP, Al-Farabi KazNU, Almaty, 050040, Kazakhstan

We consider a non-associative generalization of supersymmetry based on three-point associators like $[Q_x, Q_y, Q_z]$ for $Q_{a,\dot{a}}$ supersymmetric generators. Such associators are connected with the products of $Q_{a,\dot{a}}$ and $x_{b\dot{b}}$. We: (a) calculate Jacobiators and show that the Jacobiators can be zero with some choice of corresponding coefficients in associators; (b) perform dimensional analysis for the coefficients in associators; (d) calculate some commutators involving coordinates and momentums; (e) estimate the weakness of non-associativity.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Pb; 02.40.Gh
Keywords: supersymmetry, nonassociativity

I. INTRODUCTION

Whether non-associativity could play a fundamental role in the formulation of physics is a question that has been raised from time to time. In Ref. [1–3] the authors develop and investigate the quantization techniques for describing the nonassociative geometry probed by closed strings. In Ref. [4] non-associative structures have appeared in the study of D-branes in curved backgrounds are investigated.

In Ref.[5] we have discussed a possible generalization of supersymmetry with associator having four factors. Here we want to discuss a possible generalization of supersymmetry with associators having three factors (three-point associator). We will assume that such associators are connected with coordinates.

II. ASSOCIATIVE SUPERSYMMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

In this section we would like to remember basic properties of the simplest supersymmetry algebra (see, for example, textbook [6]). The most important for us is the anticommutator for $Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}$ supersymmetry generators

$$\left\{Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}\right\} = Q_a Q_{\dot{a}} + Q_{\dot{a}} Q_a = 2\sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}} P_\mu, \tag{1}$$

and all other commutators and anticommutators are zero

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{Q_a, Q_b\right\} &= \left\{Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{b}}\right\} = 0, & (2)\\ \left[Q_a, P_\mu\right] &= \left[Q_{\dot{a}}, P_\mu\right] = 0, & (3)\\ \left[P_\mu, P_\nu\right] &= 0, & (4) \end{aligned}$$

here $P_\mu = -i\partial_\mu$ is the momentum operator; $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$; $a = 1, 2$; $\dot{a} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$. Pauli matrices $\sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}}, \sigma^{a\dot{a}}_\mu$ are defined in the standard way

$$\sigma^\mu_{a\dot{a}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{5}$$

$$\sigma^{a\dot{a}}_\mu = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{6}$$

with orthogonality relations for Pauli matrixes

$$\sigma^{a\dot{a}}_\mu \sigma^\nu_{a\dot{a}} = 2\delta^\nu_\mu, \quad \sigma^{a\dot{a}}_\mu \sigma^\mu_{b\dot{b}} = 2\delta^a_b \delta^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{b}}. \tag{7}$$

Following the idea of Ref. [5], we want to show that one can generalize supersymmetry in such a way that the supergenerators $Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}$ will become non-associative ones.

---

*Electronic address: v.dzhunushaliev@gmail.com

III. THREE-POINT NON-ASSOCIATIVE GENERALIZATION OF THE SUPERSYMMETRY ALGEBRA

In Ref. [5] we have shown that it is possible to extend supersymmetry in such way that the generators $Q_a, \bar{Q}_{\dot{a}}$ become non-associative ones. It was done by introducing a four-point associator in the following way

$$\left[Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}, \left(Q_b Q_{\dot{b}}\right)\right] = 2\zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} \sigma^{\mu}_{a\dot{a}} \sigma^{\nu}_{b\dot{b}} M_{\mu\nu} + a_1 \epsilon_{ab} \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \mathbb{I} + \sigma^{\mu}_{a\dot{a}} \sigma^{\nu}_{b\dot{b}} a_2 P_\mu P_\nu + a_3 \eta_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu}_{a\dot{a}} \sigma^{\nu}_{b\dot{b}} \mathbb{I} + a_4 \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \sigma^{\rho\tau}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} M_{\mu\nu} M_{\rho\tau} + \cdots , \tag{8}$$

where $\zeta_0 = \pm i, \pm 1$, ℓ_0 is some characteristic length; $a_{2,3,4}$ are complex numbers; $\sigma^{\mu\nu}_{ab} = \left[\sigma^\mu, \sigma^\nu\right]_{ab}$, $\sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \left[\sigma^\mu, \sigma^\nu\right]_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$, and $\epsilon_{ab}, \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ are the antisymmetric symbols; the coefficient $\hbar/\ell_0^2$ has been chosen so that the right- and left-hand sides of (8) have the same dimensions [7].

Let us remember the definition of associator

$$[A, B, C] = (AB)\, C - A\, (BC)\, . \tag{9}$$

Now we want to demonstrate that it is possible to introduce the generalization of supersymmetry other than (8) based on a three-point associator. Let us define the following three-point associators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_b\right] &= \zeta_1 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_b + \zeta_2 x_{b\dot{a}} Q_a + \zeta_3 Q_a x_{b\dot{a}}, && (10)\\
\left[Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_b\right] &= \zeta_4 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_b + \zeta_5 Q_a x_{b\dot{a}}, && (11)\\
\left[Q_a, Q_b, Q_{\dot{a}}\right] &= \zeta_6 Q_a x_{b\dot{a}} + \zeta_7 Q_b x_{a\dot{a}} + \zeta_8 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_b, && (12)\\
\left[Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{b}}\right] &= \xi_1 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{b}} + \xi_2 x_{a\dot{b}} Q_{\dot{a}} + \xi_3 Q_{\dot{a}} x_{a\dot{b}}, && (13)\\
\left[Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_{\dot{b}}\right] &= \xi_4 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{b}} + \xi_5 Q_{\dot{a}} x_{a\dot{b}}, && (14)\\
\left[Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_a\right] &= \xi_6 Q_{\dot{a}} x_{a\dot{b}} + \xi_7 x_{a\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{b}} + \xi_8 Q_{\dot{b}} x_{a\dot{a}}, && (15)\\
\left[Q_a, Q_b, Q_c\right] &= \left[Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_{\dot{c}}\right] = 0 && (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $a \neq b$; $\dot{a} \neq \dot{b}$; operators $x_{a\dot{a}}, x^\mu$ are connected with the relation

$$x_{a\dot{a}} = \sigma_{\mu a\dot{a}} x^\mu . \tag{17}$$

We think that

$$|\zeta_i| = \begin{cases} \text{either} \quad 0, & \text{for some } i \\ \text{or} \quad\quad \zeta, & \text{for other values } i. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

A. Dimensional analysis

The simple dimensional analysis of equations (1), (10) – (16) shows that the dimensions of ζ_i and ξ_i are

$$[\zeta_i] = [\xi_i] = \frac{\mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{s}}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8. \tag{19}$$

Again, as in Ref. [7], we think that the relations (12) – (16) should be quantum ones and consequently have to contain the Planck constant:

$$\zeta_i, \xi_i \propto \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2}, \tag{20}$$

where ℓ_0 is some characteristic length, for example it can be done as $\ell_0^{-2} = \Lambda$ where Λ is the cosmological constant.

B. Jacobiators

In this subsection we would like to calculate Jacobiator

$$J(x, y, z) = \left[\left[x, y\right], z\right] + \left[\left[y, z\right], x\right] + \left[\left[z, x\right], y\right] = [x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] - [x, z, y] - [y, x, z] - [z, y, x] \tag{21}$$

where x, y, z are $Q_{a,\dot a}$. Let us calculate Jacobiators

$$\begin{aligned} -J(Q_a, Q_{\dot a}, Q_b) &= J(Q_a, Q_b, Q_{\dot a}) = J(Q_{\dot a}, Q_a, Q_b) = \\ &\left(\zeta_1 + \zeta_8 - \zeta_2 - \zeta_4\right)\left(x_{a\dot a}Q_b - x_{b\dot a}Q_a\right) + \left(\zeta_3 + \zeta_6 - \zeta_5 - \zeta_7\right)\left(Q_a x_{b\dot a} - Q_b x_{a\dot a}\right), \qquad (22) \\ -J(Q_{\dot a}, Q_a, Q_{\dot b}) &= J(Q_a, Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}) = J(Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}, Q_a) = \\ &\left(\xi_6 + \xi_3 - \xi_5 - \xi_8\right)\left(Q_{\dot a} x_{a\dot b} - Q_{\dot b} x_{a\dot a}\right) + \left(\xi_4 + \xi_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_7\right)\left(x_{a\dot b} Q_{\dot a} - x_{a\dot a} Q_{\dot b}\right). \qquad (23) \end{aligned}$$

We see that the Jacobiators are zero either by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 + \zeta_8 - \zeta_2 - \zeta_4 &= 0, \qquad (24) \\ \zeta_3 + \zeta_6 - \zeta_5 - \zeta_7 &= 0, \qquad (25) \\ \xi_6 + \xi_3 - \xi_5 - \xi_8 &= 0, \qquad (26) \\ \xi_4 + \xi_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_7 &= 0 \qquad (27) \end{aligned}$$

or by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{a\dot a}Q_b - x_{b\dot a}Q_a &= 0, \qquad (28) \\ Q_a x_{b\dot a} - Q_b x_{a\dot a} &= 0, \qquad (29) \\ Q_{\dot a} x_{a\dot b} - Q_{\dot b} x_{a\dot a} &= 0, \qquad (30) \\ x_{a\dot b} Q_{\dot a} - x_{a\dot a} Q_{\dot b} &= 0. \qquad (31) \end{aligned}$$

Probably the simplest case is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2 &= \zeta_3 = \zeta_7 = \zeta_8 = 0, \qquad (32) \\ \xi_2 &= \xi_3 = \xi_7 = \xi_8 = 0, \qquad (33) \\ |\zeta_1| &= |\zeta_4| = |\zeta_5| = |\zeta_6| = |\xi_1| = |\xi_4| = |\xi_5| = |\xi_6| = \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2}. \qquad (34) \end{aligned}$$

It means that

$$\zeta_i = \xi_i = \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} \qquad (35)$$

here $i = 1, 4, 5, 6$ and $\zeta_0 = \pm 1, \pm i$. In this case the associators (10) – (16) are

$$\begin{aligned} \left[Q_{\dot a}, Q_a, Q_b\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} x_{a\dot a} Q_b, \qquad (36) \\ \left[Q_a, Q_{\dot a}, Q_b\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2}\left(x_{a\dot a}Q_b + Q_a x_{b\dot a},\right) \qquad (37) \\ \left[Q_a, Q_b, Q_{\dot a}\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} Q_a x_{b\dot a}, \qquad (38) \\ \left[Q_a, Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} x_{a\dot a} Q_{\dot b}, \qquad (39) \\ \left[Q_{\dot a}, Q_a, Q_{\dot b}\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2}\left(x_{a\dot a}Q_{\dot b} + \xi_5 Q_{\dot a} x_{a\dot b}\right), \qquad (40) \\ \left[Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}, Q_a\right] &= \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} Q_{\dot a} x_{a\dot b}, \qquad (41) \\ \left[Q_a, Q_b, Q_c\right] &= \left[Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}, Q_{\dot c}\right] = 0 \qquad (42) \end{aligned}$$

C. Commutator $[x_\nu, p_\mu]$ in a non-associative form

Let us do the following transformations with 3-points associators (36), (39), (38) and (41)

$$\left[Q_{\dot a}, Q_a, Q_b\right] Q_{\dot b} + \left[Q_a, Q_{\dot a}, Q_{\dot b}\right] Q_b - Q_{\dot b}\left[Q_b, Q_a, Q_{\dot a}\right] - Q_b\left[Q_{\dot b}, Q_{\dot a}, Q_a\right] = \zeta_0 \frac{\hbar}{\ell_0^2} \sigma^\mu_{b\dot b} \sigma^\nu_{a\dot a}\left[x_\nu, p_\mu\right]. \qquad (43)$$

We can use this expression for the estimation of weakness of non-associativity. Let us introduce dimensionless quantities

$$\tilde{Q}_{a,\dot{a}} = \frac{Q_{a,\dot{a}}}{Q_0}, \tilde{x}^\mu = \frac{x^\mu}{l_{Pl}}, \tilde{p}^\mu = \frac{p^\mu}{Q_0} \tag{44}$$

where $Q_0 = \sqrt{\hbar c^3/G}$. Then (43) has the form

$$\left[\tilde{Q}_{\dot{a}}, \tilde{Q}_a, \tilde{Q}_b\right] \tilde{Q}_{\dot{b}} + \left[\tilde{Q}_a, \tilde{Q}_{\dot{a}}, \tilde{Q}_{\dot{b}}\right] \tilde{Q}_b - \tilde{Q}_{\dot{b}} \left[\tilde{Q}_b, \tilde{Q}_a, \tilde{Q}_{\dot{a}}\right] - \tilde{Q}_b \left[\tilde{Q}_{\dot{b}}, \tilde{Q}_{\dot{a}}, \tilde{Q}_a\right] = \zeta_0 \frac{l^2_{Pl}}{\ell^2_0} \sigma^\mu_{b\dot{b}} \sigma^\nu_{a\dot{a}} \left[\tilde{x}_\nu, \tilde{p}_\mu\right] \tag{45}$$

where $l_{Pl} = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ is the Planck length. If we us choose $\ell_0^{-2} = \Lambda$ (Λ is the cosmological constant) then the coefficient l^2_{Pl}/ℓ^2_0 on the RHS of (45) is $\approx 10^{-120}$. If $[\tilde{x}_\nu, \tilde{p}_\mu] \sim 1$ then (45) shows that the non-associative expression on the LHS of (45) is extremely small $\approx 10^{-120}$.

The relation (43) can be inverted

$$[x_\nu, p_\mu] = \frac{1}{4\zeta_0} \frac{\ell^2_0}{\hbar} \sigma^{b\dot{b}}_\mu \sigma^{a\dot{a}}_\nu \left\{ [Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_b] Q_{\dot{b}} + \left[Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{b}}\right] Q_b - Q_{\dot{b}} [Q_b, Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}] - Q_b \left[Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a\right] \right\} \tag{46}$$

D. A weak version of coordinates non-commutativity

In this subsection we calculate the anticommutator

$$\left\{ [Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_c], \left[Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_b, Q_d\right] \right\} = \zeta^2_0 \frac{\hbar^2}{\ell^4_0} \left[(x_{a\dot{a}} Q_c) \left(x_{b\dot{b}} Q_d\right) + \left(x_{b\dot{b}} Q_d\right) (x_{a\dot{a}} Q_c) \right]. \tag{47}$$

Let us assume that the operators $x_{a\dot{a}}$ are in an associative subalgebra of an non-associative algebra of $Q_{a,\dot{a}}$. Then we can omit the brackets on the RHS of (47). Introducing the commutator

$$[Q_b, x_{a\dot{a}},] = \alpha_{b,a\dot{a}}, \tag{48}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\ell^4_0}{\zeta^2_0 \hbar^2} \left\{ [Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_c], \left[Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_b, Q_d\right] \right\} = x_{a\dot{a}} x_{b\dot{b}} Q_c Q_d + x_{b\dot{b}} x_{a\dot{a}} Q_d Q_c + x_{a\dot{a}} \alpha_{c,b\dot{b}} Q_d + x_{b\dot{b}} \alpha_{d,a\dot{a}} Q_c. \tag{49}$$

Using the anticommutator (2), we will have

$$\left[x_{a\dot{a}}, x_{b\dot{b}}\right] Q_c Q_d = \frac{\ell^4_0}{\zeta^2_0 \hbar^2} \left\{ [Q_{\dot{a}}, Q_a, Q_c], \left[Q_{\dot{b}}, Q_b, Q_d\right] \right\} - x_{a\dot{a}} \alpha_{c,b\dot{b}} Q_d - x_{b\dot{b}} \alpha_{d,a\dot{a}} Q_c. \tag{50}$$

Using the definition (17) and the inverse relations (7), we will obtain a weak version of non-commutativity for coordinates

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] Q_c Q_d = i\theta^{\mu\nu}_{cd}, \tag{51}$$

where the matrix $\theta^{\mu\nu}_{cd}$ is defined as

$$i\theta^{\mu\nu}_{cd} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{\mu a\dot{a}} \sigma^{\nu b\dot{b}} \left(\frac{\ell^4_0}{\zeta^2_0 \hbar^2} \left\{ [Q_y, Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}], \left[Q_z, Q_b, Q_{\dot{b}}\right] \right\} - x_{a\dot{a}} \alpha_{c,b\dot{b}} Q_d - x_{b\dot{b}} \alpha_{d,a\dot{a}} Q_c \right). \tag{52}$$

The relation (51) have to be compared with the standard definition of non-commutativity of spacetime that can be encoded in the commutator of operators corresponding to spacetime coordinates [8, 9]:

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{53}$$

where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is an antisymmetric matrix. We see that the relation (51) looks like a weak version of commutator for non-commutative coordinates in the consequence of the prefactor $Q_c Q_d$ in front of the commutator $[x^\mu, x^\nu]$.

One can rewrite (47) in dimensionless form

$$\left\{ \left[\tilde{Q}_{\dot{a}}, \tilde{Q}_a, \tilde{Q}_c\right], \left[\tilde{Q}_{\dot{b}}, \tilde{Q}_b, \tilde{Q}_d\right] \right\} = \zeta^2_0 \frac{l^4_{Pl}}{\ell^4_0} \left[\left(\tilde{x}_{a\dot{a}} \tilde{Q}_c\right) \left(\tilde{x}_{b\dot{b}} \tilde{Q}_d\right) + \left(\tilde{x}_{b\dot{b}} \tilde{Q}_d\right) \left(\tilde{x}_{a\dot{a}} \tilde{Q}_c\right) \right]. \tag{54}$$

Similarly to the previous subsection III C we see that the RHS of (54) is extremely small $\approx 10^{-240}$.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Thus, here we have suggested a non-associative generalization of supersymmetry with three-point associators. We have shown that it is possible to choice the associators in such way that non-associative generators will satisfy to Jacobi identity. We have calculated the commutators $[x_\mu, p_\nu]$ and $[x^\mu, x^\nu]$. One question in this approach: is the operator x^μ from relations (12) and (11) the same or similar to quantized spacetime coordinates à la Snyder [8] and non-commutative geometry [9] ? If yes, then such non-associative generalization of supersymmetry in some weak sense leads to an interesting connection with non-commutativity of coordinates. The dimensional analysis of parameter ℓ_0 is done. The analysis gives rise to the conclusion that ℓ_0 have to be connected with some characteristic length. For example, it can be the cosmological constant Λ^{-1}. In this case the manifestation of non-associativity is extremely small $l_{Pl}^2/\ell_0^2 = l_{Pl}^2 \Lambda \approx 10^{-120}$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Volkswagen Stiftung and by a grant No. 0263/PCF-14 in fundamental research in natural sciences by the Ministry of Education and Science of Kazakhstan. I am very grateful to V. Folomeev and A. Deriglazov for fruitful discussions and comments.

[1] D. Mylonas, P. Schupp and R. J. Szabo, JHEP **1209**, 012 (2012) [arXiv:1207.0926 [hep-th]].
[2] D. Mylonas, P. Schupp and R. J. Szabo, PoS ICMP **2013** (2013) 007 [arXiv:1402.7306 [hep-th]].
[3] D. Mylonas, P. Schupp and R. J. Szabo, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 122301 (2014) [arXiv:1312.1621 [hep-th]].
[4] M. Günaydin and D. Minic, Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 873 [arXiv:1304.0410 [hep-th]].
[5] V. Dzhunushaliev, "Nonassociatuve generalization of supersymmetry," arXiv:1302.0346 [math-ph].
[6] Ian Aitchison, "Supersymmetry in Particle Physics: An Elementary Introduction", Cambridge University Press, 2007, ISBN=9781139467056.
[7] V. Dzhunushaliev, "Cosmological constant, supersymmetry, nonassociativity, and Big Numbers," Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 2, 86 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00663 [gr-qc]].
[8] H. S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **71**, 38 (1947).
[9] A. Connes, "Noncommutative geometry: Year 2000," math/0011193 [math-qa].